



NATIONAL LOAN OFFICE,

1223 GRAND AVENUE,

~~LOUISIANA~~ PROP'R.
MAYKELS & CO.

Kansas City Mo., Nov 12th 1889

Mr W. Landell Superintendent of the
U. S. Mint Philadelphia

Dear Sir.

Received yesterday the
Currency for the gold and Silver
deposited on the November 5th
last; Memorandum #285 but
I was surprised about the
mistake because I did send 203.
dwt gold there was also 18 kt som
14 kt and even if it was all 6 kt
gold the coinage should be more
then I received then the Silver was
all right I wish You will take
notice and also will I wait for
an answer


Obliged Yours
Maykels & Co.

P.S. Also we did not receive any gold
or silver two \$20⁰⁰ bills and I wish You will
explain. M & Co.

Kansas City, Mo.
November 12, 1889

W. H. W. & Co.
B. H. W. & Co.

Received the currency
for gold & silver
deposited on November
5 - \$285.



292 1688

1640 401

1640

(No. 44.)

Printed & Sold at _____

MEMORANDUM OF SILVER BULLION deposited on the Nov 5, 1888, on account of a purchase made by _____
from W B Hall on _____, 1888, at \$ _____ per fine oz.

NOTE.—This Memorandum is for the information of the Seller, and is of no other value.

[illegible]

[Ed. 5-22-'84—5,000.] **ELECTRO'S.**

$$\begin{array}{r} DC \quad 573 \\ GC \quad 3550 \\ \hline 40.53 \end{array}$$

For Superintendent.

Nov 24

This deposit has been reassayed and
our assay is correct. He must have made some
mistake in estimating the value of the gold
or the mistake may have been that the weight
of the gold was wrong as we took it as a silver
deposit and that would show that there was
more ounces of silver than gold in it.

His first letter said nothing about the weight
either of the gold or silver. The express Co.
charge less for carrying paper than they do
silver may have been the reason he was paid
in greenbacks

11/19/89

By Respect
Whe

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 160

[Abstract:] Received the currency for gold & silver deposited on November 5th, \$285.

National Loan Office,
Maykels & Co.
Kansas City, Mo.,
November 12, 1889

Mr. W. Landell, Superintendent of the U.S. Mint, Philadelphia

Dear Sir

Received yesterday the currency for the gold and silver deposited on the November 5th last, Memorandum #285 but I was surprised about the mistake because I did sent 203 dwt gold there was also 18 kt some 14 kt and even if it was all 6 kt gold the coinage should be more then I received then the silver was all right I wish you will take notice and also will I wait for an answer.

Obliged Yours
Maykels & Co.

P.S. Also we did not receive any gold or silver two \$20.00 bills and I wish you will explain. M & Co.

[Enclosed table:]

No. 285

(No. 44)

Mint U.S. at

Memorandum of silver bullion deposited on the Nov. 5, 188 , on account of a purchase made by ,
from W.B. Hart on, 188 , at \$ per fine oz.

Note – This Memorandum is for the information of the Seller, and is of no other value.

Description of Bullion.	Weight.		Value of the Silver.		Gold Parted from the Silver.		Charges.	Net Value.
	Before Melting.	After Melting.	Fineness.	Value.	Fineness.	Value.		
	Ounces. Dec.	Ounces. Dec.	1000ths.	Dollars. Cents.	1000ths.	Dollars. Cents.	Dollars. Cents.	Dollars. Cents.
		16.40	401	6.17	106	35.94	1.58	40.53

For Superintendent.

[Noted at bottom:]

SC 5.03
GC 35.50
 40.53

[Enclosed note:]

Mr. H.

This deposit has been reassayed and our assay is correct. He must have made some mistake in estimating the value of the Gold or the mistake may have been that the weighor of the gold was wrong as we took it as a silver deposit and that would show that these were more ounces of silver than gold in it.

His first letter said nothing about the weighor either of the gold in silver. The Express Co. charge less for carrying paper than they do silver may have letter the season he was paid in green backs.

Very Respect.
Steel

11/19/89